



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/373,795	08/13/1999	MARK A. BAKKE	98-127-NSC	2949

7590 12/04/2003

TIMOTHY R SCHULTE  
STORAGE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION  
ONE STORAGETEK DRIVE MS 4309  
LOUISVILLE, CO 800284309

EXAMINER

CHANNAVAJALA, SRIRAMA T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2177

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

4

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------


17

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

Examiner acknowledges applicants' PTO-1449 filed on 10/30/2003, paper no. # 15 and considered. A copy of PTO-1449 is herewith enclosed with this Office communication paper no. # 17, and the Case is forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

  
Srirama Channavajjala  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 2177

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board


Paper No. 16

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte MARK A. BAKKE  
and HAROLD G. VARNIS

Appeal No. 2003-0532  
Application 09/373,795



ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

On October 30, 2003, appellants filed an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) (Paper No. 15). There is no indication in the record that the examiner has considered the IDS according to the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98 (1994). A communication notifying applicants of the Primary Examiner's decision is required.


Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is remanded to the Examiner for consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

Appeal No. 2003-0532  
Application 09/373,795

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be promptly informed of any action affecting the appeal in this case (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES



Dale M. Shaw  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

DMS/cam

Timothy R. Schulte  
Storage Technology Corporation  
One Storagetek Drive MS 4309  
Louisville, CO 80028-4309